

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/602,100	KEATING, DAVID	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814	

**All Participants:**

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) brian M. Berliner.

**Status of Application:** Non- final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 August 2006

**Time:** 12:15PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
102(b) and 102(e)

**Claims discussed:**  
1 and 35


**Prior art documents discussed:**  
US 6,348,726, US 7,042,071, and US 7,042,071

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to discuss language to overcome the above US references. The attorney informed the examiner that he preferred to see a rejection and would not be able to discuss the application in time designated by the examiner. Therefore, a non-final rejection is forthcoming..